

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MICHAEL GRIFFITH, *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PARAN LLP,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:21-cv-01397-GMN-BNW

ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Brenda Weksler, (ECF No. 6), which recommends that the case with respect to Michael Griffith be dismissed without prejudice.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. Local R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. Local R. IB 3-2(b). The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).


Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so, September 22, 2021, has passed. (*See* Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 6).

1 Accordingly,

2 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 6), is
3 **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** in full.

4 The case with respect to Plaintiff Michael Griffith is dismissed without prejudice.

5 **DATED** this 30 day of September, 2021.

6
7 
8 _____
9 Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge
10 United States District Court
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25